

Republic of the Philippines Department of Budget and Management PROCUREMENT SERVICE BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE



MINUTES OF MEETING

Wednesday, Decemb	oer 5, 2018 10:00 AM	CONFERENCE A
MEETING CALLED BY	BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE	
TYPE OF MEETING	Prebid Conference	
PROJECT	Supply and Delivery of Various Transfusion Transmissible Infections (TTIs) Reagents for the Department of Health — National Voluntary Blood Services Program (DOH-NVBSP)	
REFERENCE NO.	Public Bidding No. 18-417-5	
ATTENDEES	Bids and Awards Committee V Ms. Teresita J. Dela Cruz Mr. Jack G. Mercado Engr. Yuji C. Hoshina, ECT Ms. Marites B. Estrella. RN, MM, MDM Procurement Division V Ms. Jessica G. Gapuz End-User's Representatives Ms. Iris Chuahiong Prospective Bidders Mr. Julius Cesar Antonio Mr. Smart De Guzman Mr. Charmaine Lacon Mr. Jan Michael Martinez Mr. Emery Gonda	Chairperson Vice Chairperson Provisional Member (PMOIC) AdHOC Member NVBSP Abbott Laboratories Ortho Clinical Diagnostics Ortho Clinical Diagnostics Lifeline Diagnostics Inc. Lifeline Diagnostics Inc.
CALL TO ORDER	 The scheduled Pre-Bid Conference started at 10:45 AM with the Chairperson presiding. The PMOIC acknowledged the presence of the attendees and stated that a quorum was established. 	
e en	3. Mr. Hoshina then informed the Committee that observers were invited but none confirmed attendance. With the sending of the Invitation, however, the requirement is deemed to have been complied with.	

1 of 6 | Page

PREBID CONFERENCE
Public Bidding Not
SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF VARIO
TRANSMISSIBLE INFECTIONS
FOR-THE DEPARTMENT OF HEAD
VOLUNTARY BLOOD SERVICES PROGRAMING HIMBS

DISCUSSION

BID DOCUMENTS	
INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS	The PMOIC discussed the various forms included in the bid documents. No questions, clarifications and/or amendments were raised.
	 The Vice Chairperson asked if the prospective bidders if they have pending SACCL Evaluation renewals. Two of the prospective bidders confirmed this. The Representative from Lifeline clarified if the date of validity of the evaluations is from the date of release. The PMOIC answered in the affirmative and that the same can be found at the footnote of the document. It was stated by one of the prospective bidders that sometimes the evaluation results are not updated and not posted in the website. The PMOIC stressed out that the official receipt of renewal should be the latest and not from long ago. The Vice Chairperson asked how long does it take for San Lazaro Hospital to release the evaluation results and if its effectivity is on the date of release. The AdHoc member said that the release of the evaluation depends on SACCL and that it is effective on the time it is posted. She also added that the posting is sometimes delayed. The Chairperson stated that if the latest evaluation results are not in the website, other ways to validate the test results should be done.
BID DATA SHEET	Section III. BID DATA SHEET ITB Clause 29.3, Page 43, Item No. 5 XXX Provision of a copy of latest and valid SACCL Evaluation updated every two (2) years from the date of release, which indicates the sensitivity and specificity of the Blood Screening TTIs reagents (subject to SACCL inputs). In case of an ongoing evaluation, the previous SACCL evaluation and Official Receipt of current evaluation should be submitted and should be supported with other relevant documents/proof. XXX 4. The Representative from Ortho Clinical Diagnostics clarified if there are
	fees to pay if they are going connect their system to the NBBNETS. The AdHoc Member replied that the NBBNETS is a government system and no costs will be incurred.
	Section III. BID DATA SHEET ITB Clause 29.3, Page 43, Item No. 16 xxx
	Notarized certification that the supplier will interface their machine with

existing Blood Bank Information System (BBIS), NBBNETS and will provide with middleware if necessary.

XXX

5. The Representative from Ortho clarified if they can submit a certification with a satisfactory performance as it is the highest rating PGH gives to their suppliers. The AdHoc Member replied that they write it as a clarification for the Committee to discuss.

Section III. BID DATA SHEET ITB Clause 29.3, Page 43, Item No. 6

Track record within the last three (3) years rated with very satisfactory performance (At least one (1) local or international installation). Certification to be issued by previous clients/installations.

XXX

- 6. The Representative from Abbott clarified if the main and backup machine should both be present on the sites. The AdHoc Member answered affirmatively.
- 7. The PMOIC clarified that the EQAS requirement should be from the bidder's clients who are using their reagents. The AdHoc Member affirmed this and that the client in the EQAS should be in their List of Clients.

Section III. BID DATA SHEET ITB Clause 29.3, Page 43, Item 7 and 8 xxx

With very satisfactory local or international EQAS.

XXX

List of Clients for the last three (3) years.

XXX

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT

and the second second second

1. The PMOIC clarified that the six sites will have all of the required equipment needed. The AdHoc member added that if the bidder has an existing installation in the sites, the prospective bidder should have a backup for that site. She added that the sites should have a main and a backup machine always present.

SCHEDULE OF REQUIREMENTS

Section VI. Schedule of Requirements

Page 69

DELIVERY PERIOD

Within Forty (40) Calendar Days from the Calendar Days from the effective date indicated in the Notice to Proceed

XXX

- 1. The PMOIC clarified that the bidders can ask for an extension on the delivery schedule. The Representative from Ortho clarified that main and backup should be delivered within the schedule. The PMOIC replied that the site in Metro Manila is the priority. The AdHoc Member added that the schedule is for all the sites and affirmed the statement of the PMOIC.
- 1. The Vice Chairperson clarified that the reagents should have a brand specified in the Compliance in the Technical Specifications. The PMOIC affirmed this. The Chairperson suggested to have this included in the Bid Bulletin.
- 2. The PMOIC clarified if there are controls, calibrators, etc. for the reagents, these will need a separate Certificate of Product Registration from the FDA. He also added if the reagents are a test kit, it will not be anymore needed.

SECTION VII. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Page 73 xxx

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Provision of reagent specific controls in the manufacturer's kit:

- Positive and Negative controls
- Calibrators
 Other Consumables (if applicable)

XXX

3. The Representative from Ortho clarified if there is a specific anticoagulant for the blood bags. The AdHoc replied that there is no specific anticoagulant stated. She added that if there are any more questions on the matter to put it in writing as a clarification.

SECTION VII. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Page 73 xxx

Suitable for use with liquid anti-coagulant present in the blood bags;

XXX

4. The Representative from Lifeline stated that their machines uses batch testing technology and that they will have average tests per hour. He asked if there can be considerations on the technology they are offering. The PMOIC replied to write the request as a clarification for the Committee to discuss.

SECTION VII. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Page 73

XXX

Provision of a fully automated, calibrated analyzer with a throughput of not less than 80 test/hour and capable of testing for four (4) TTIs/, namely HBsAg Surface Antigen Assay, HIV Antigen & Antibody Assay, HCV Antibody Assay or HCV Antigen and Antibody and Syphilis Antibody Assay

XXX

5. The Representative from Ortho clarified if there is going to be delivery tranches, etc. The AdHoc Member replied that the delivery will be made only once.

BIDDING FORMS

The PMOIC discussed the various forms included in the bid documents. No questions, clarifications and/or amendments were raised.

TI. OTHER DISCUSSIONS

OTHER MATTERS

- 1. The Representative from Ortho clarified if the reagents are to be delivered on the site or in DOH. The AdHoc answered that the delivery will be on the different sites. The Representative from Ortho asked regarding the payment terms for the project. The Committee answered that this is for clarification. The Vice Chairperson stated that the delivery should be coordinated with the Inspection Division and the NVBSP for the joint evaluation at least 3 to 5 days before delivery. The Chairperson said to notify PS and NVBSP in writing.
- 2. The Chairperson stated that if the bidders still have any questions/clarifications, they can put it in writing and send it within the prescribed period stated in the bidding documents.

ADJOURNMENT

Having no other matters for discussion, the meeting was adjourned at 12:05 PM.

We certify that the foregoing is the true account of the Prebid Conference conducted on Wednesday, December 5, 2018.	
PREPARED BY	ENGR. YUZI C.: HOSHINA, ECT Provisional Member, Bids and Awards Committee V
CERTIFIED CORRECT	MS. MARITES B. ESTRELLA, RN, MM, MDM AdHOC Member, Bids and Awards Committee V MR. JACK G. MERCADO Vice Chairperson, Bids and Awards Committee V
	MS. TERESITA J. DELA CRUZ Chairperson, Bids and Awards Committee V

Note: For the purpose of this Minutes and for better understanding of its contents, the following rules shall apply: (a) Double Strike out – denotes deletion; (b) <u>Underline</u> – denotes inclusion or new item/requirement; and "xxx" – denotes separation of phrase/s being amended from the rest of the main text.